## V. CONSUMER VICTIMS - STATE-BY-STATE

The tables in this section show how the FTC's enforcement under Section 13(b) has helped individual consumers in every U.S. state and territory in recent years.

## Methodology Notes:

- Refund data for each state was collected from this website:
https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/federal.trade.commission/viz/Refunds_157979584 02020/RefundsbyCase
- The top five cases, as measured by the First Mailing amount, are shown in a bar graph on the right side of this page. Individual state data for each of these cases was collected from each individual state page, which can be accessed by clicking on each state, district, or territory shown in the map on this page.
- The refund data included in this report was captured on January 15, 2022.
- While most of the cases listed on this website were filed using Section 13(b), some of the cases included other legal theories as well.
- Fraud data for each state was collected from this website, based on Consumer Sentinel Network data:
https://public.tableau.com/app/profile/federal.trade.commission/viz/FraudReports/FraudF acts
- Individual state data was collected from each state page, which can be accessed by clicking on each state, district, or territory shown in the map on this page.
- The fraud data in this report was captured on March 2, 2022.
- Refund data reflects physical checks mailed by the FTC in the United States for any type of case in recent years.
- Electronic payments, international checks, or refunds administered by defendants or other federal agencies are not reflected because the FTC does not have State-specific geographic data for those refunds. ${ }^{47}$
- The charts include over $\$ 505$ million in consumer redress that was principally made possible by the Department of Justice's criminal forfeiture case against the owners of AMG Services. The FTC distributed the money as partial refunds to the AMG Capital victims prior to the Supreme Court's decision. The fraud data does not include identity theft complaints. ${ }^{48}$
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## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 9,989,989$ | 26,571 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,166,592$ | 1,475 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,835,383$ | 34,492 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,659,212$ | 1,367 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 641,210$ | 2,203 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 26,986 | $\$ 44,700,000$ | $\$ 423$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 22,892 | $\$ 27,800,000$ | $\$ 261$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 20,703 | $\$ 18,200,000$ | $\$ 355$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 17,655 | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 311$ |



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,531,315$ | 3,561 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 166,746$ | 260 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 454,389$ | 4,423 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 52,347$ | 54 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 80,959$ | 269 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 6,906 | $\$ 13,100,000$ | $\$ 600$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 4,363 | $\$ 5,800,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 3,171 | $\$ 6,100,000$ | $\$ 490$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 2,685 | $\$ 3,700,000$ | $\$ 491$ |

## ARIZONA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 5,744,863$ | 16,146 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 4,136,530$ | 5,924 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 5,805,743$ | 66,728 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 831,778$ | 896 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 2,323,123$ | 6,685 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 51,873 | $\$ 116,000,000$ | $\$ 515$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 43,849 | $\$ 70,700,000$ | $\$ 356$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 36,749 | $\$ 42,700,000$ | $\$ 440$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 31,653 | $\$ 30,100,000$ | $\$ 399$ |

## ARKANSAS



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 6,827,529$ | 16,039 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,191,298$ | 1,596 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,954,439$ | 22,872 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 642,013$ | 601 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 357,431$ | 1,257 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 16,142 | $\$ 16,700,000$ | $\$ 450$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 12,765 | $\$ 14,400,000$ | $\$ 286$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 11,346 | $\$ 13,800,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 9,589 | $\$ 5,200,000$ | $\$ 360$ |

## CALIFORNIA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 55,639,293$ | 124,601 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 49,540,840$ | 57,781 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 13,388,936$ | 168,225 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 4,102,735$ | 4,548 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 10,497,515$ | 26,484 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 245,585 | $\$ 820,900,000$ | $\$ 600$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 210,975 | $\$ 423,400,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 176,213 | $\$ 291,900,000$ | $\$ 484$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 130,146 | $\$ 176,500,000$ | $\$ 400$ |

## COLORADO



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 12,675,115$ | 26,884 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,854,047$ | 3,859 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,730,349$ | 31,726 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 530,482$ | 474 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 963,831$ | 2,870 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 46,089 | $\$ 88,000,000$ | $\$ 479$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 37,085 | $\$ 53,500,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 30,333 | $\$ 44,500,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 24,749 | $\$ 25,700,000$ | $\$ 350$ |

## CONNECTICUT



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 7,176,832$ | 14,618 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,504,444$ | 1,970 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,472,408$ | 17,946 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,139,271$ | 859 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 219,641$ | 690 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 21,278 | $\$ 40,900,000$ | $\$ 460$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 19,396 | $\$ 25,000,000$ | $\$ 280$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 15,135 | $\$ 16,400,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 12,304 | $\$ 8,600,000$ | $\$ 303$ |

## DELAWARE



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,729,138$ | 5,875 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 593,162$ | 983 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 589,883$ | 6,777 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 252,902$ | 226 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 115,286$ | 360 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 7,367 | $\$ 14,100,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 6,956 | $\$ 9,300,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 5,614 | $\$ 8,100,000$ | $\$ 369$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 4,189 | $\$ 2,600,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## FLORIDA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 35,809,688$ | 92,156 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 13,716,064$ | 20,996 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 13,147,380$ | 161,806 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 3,781,711$ | 3,461 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 3,115,727$ | 9,130 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 156,319 | $\$ 331,300,000$ | $\$ 532$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 137,168 | $\$ 208,000,000$ | $\$ 376$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 109,261 | $\$ 147,600,000$ | $\$ 418$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 88,546 | $\$ 86,100,000$ | $\$ 396$ |

## GEORGIA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 3,618,365$ | 9,050 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 4,011,384$ | 4,764 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 8,042,717$ | 95,659 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,482,409$ | 1,460 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 2,337,279$ | 7,676 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 65,977 | $\$ 113,000,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 58,454 | $\$ 73,900,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 45,667 | $\$ 48,600,000$ | $\$ 340$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 38,400 | $\$ 32,700,000$ | $\$ 316$ |

## HAWAII



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,570,027$ | 3,809 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 290,581$ | 443 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 152,797$ | 1,922 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 81,796$ | 69 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 599,704$ | 1,568 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 9,193 | $\$ 22,500,000$ | $\$ 620$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 7,593 | $\$ 15,900,000$ | $\$ 358$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 5,461 | $\$ 7,800,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 4,338 | $\$ 6,000,000$ | $\$ 400$ |

## IDAHO



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,626,136$ | 4,374 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 490,742$ | 804 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 489,810$ | 6,316 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 127,413$ | 129 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 322,602$ | 1,006 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 10,786 | $\$ 16,900,000$ | $\$ 396$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 8,567 | $\$ 10,500,000$ | $\$ 287$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 7,457 | $\$ 9,500,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 6,570 | $\$ 5,400,000$ | $\$ 400$ |

## ILLINOIS



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 20,542,511$ | 46,201 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 9,793,850$ | 10,612 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 6,338,795$ | 69,951 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,339,150$ | 1,381 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,302,162$ | 4,180 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 78,280 | $\$ 129,000,000$ | $\$ 450$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 65,272 | $\$ 97,000,000$ | $\$ 279$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 51,783 | $\$ 55,300,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| 2018 | 40,115 | $\$ 30,500,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## INDIANA



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 15,037,087$ | 36,062 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,807,531$ | 2,509 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,665,576$ | 41,361 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,136,707$ | 991 |
| University of <br> Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 568,906$ | 1,956 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 39,621 | $\$ 46,900,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 32,008 | $\$ 32,700,000$ | $\$ 235$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 26,111 | $\$ 21,200,000$ | $\$ 320$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 21,969 | $\$ 17,400,000$ | $\$ 350$ |

## IowA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 4,342,175$ | 10,651 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,021,229$ | 1,670 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 664,446$ | 8,624 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 136,600$ | 163 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 243,872$ | 795 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 14,643 | $\$ 21,200,000$ | $\$ 379$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 12,813 | $\$ 17,400,000$ | $\$ 250$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 11,893 | $\$ 11,700,000$ | $\$ 311$ |
| 2018 | 9,947 | $\$ 7,400,000$ | $\$ 370$ |

## KANSAS



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 555,978$ | 1,601 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,623,426$ | 2,390 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 812,681$ | 10,238 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 178,370$ | 210 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 236,911$ | 792 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 16,940 | $\$ 19,900,000$ | $\$ 429$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 14,428 | $\$ 15,100,000$ | $\$ 250$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 12,311 | $\$ 13,100,000$ | $\$ 329$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 10,865 | $\$ 9,900,000$ | $\$ 354$ |

## Kentucky



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 7,417,783$ | 19,211 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 460,954$ | 698 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,620,039$ | 30,981 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,789,367$ | 1,458 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 406,850$ | 1,341 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 16,940 | $\$ 19,900,000$ | $\$ 429$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 21,796 | $\$ 16,400,000$ | $\$ 208$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 18,136 | $\$ 14,500,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 14,894 | $\$ 10,400,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## LOUISIANA



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 11,249,399$ | 28,632 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 688,857$ | 1,153 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,204,123$ | 35,791 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,078,912$ | 876 |
| University of <br> Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 829,336$ | 2,695 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 23,404 | $\$ 30,000,000$ | $\$ 422$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 19,748 | $\$ 22,100,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 16,139 | $\$ 15,600,000$ | $\$ 380$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 14,477 | $\$ 28,900,000$ | $\$ 371$ |

## MAINE



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,161,523$ | 5,030 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 130,720$ | 227 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 362,008$ | 4,619 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 917,029$ | 677 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 89,999$ | 309 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 8,397 | $\$ 9,700,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 6,495 | $\$ 6,000,000$ | $\$ 219$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 5,209 | $\$ 3,500,000$ | $\$ 251$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 4,524 | $\$ 2,600,000$ | $\$ 349$ |

## MARYLAND



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 17,165,972$ | 32,300 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,754,781$ | 4,026 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 4,127,092$ | 45,624 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,815,790$ | 2,208 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 823,172$ | 2,426 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 45,832 | $\$ 94,000,000$ | $\$ 518$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 41,956 | $\$ 57,400,000$ | $\$ 318$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 31,427 | $\$ 40,300,000$ | $\$ 407$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 24,707 | $\$ 18,500,000$ | $\$ 344$ |

## MASSACHUSETTS



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 9,813,160$ | 20,549 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,953,679$ | 2,912 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,244,142$ | 25,379 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,680,258$ | 1,995 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 292,496$ | 914 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 44,020 | $\$ 91,300,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 35,356 | $\$ 42,600,000$ | $\$ 272$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 27,304 | $\$ 26,100,000$ | $\$ 289$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 22,434 | $\$ 19,900,000$ | $\$ 338$ |

## MICHIGAN



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 15,808,053$ | 39,462 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,866,010$ | 4,806 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 7,249,830$ | 73,982 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,002,457$ | 1,671 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,298,978$ | 4,221 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 58,709 | $\$ 83,300,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 51,649 | $\$ 58,900,000$ | $\$ 250$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 40,550 | $\$ 38,600,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 32,796 | $\$ 24,500,000$ | $\$ 299$ |

## Minnesota



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 8,219,423$ | 18,511 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 4,541,396$ | 7,257 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 37,979$ | 449 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 492,379$ | 455 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 342,795$ | 1,080 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 33,234 | $\$ 60,300,000$ | $\$ 482$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 29,428 | $\$ 39,200,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 24,092 | $\$ 29,100,000$ | $\$ 385$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 19,749 | $\$ 15,800,000$ | $\$ 360$ |

## MISSISSIPPI



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 6,366,205$ | 17,131 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 549,189$ | 866 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,757,639$ | 20,361 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,088,313$ | 884 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 398,747$ | 1,496 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 13,952 | $\$ 23,400,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 12,799 | $\$ 11,400,000$ | $\$ 210$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 10,562 | $\$ 9,900,000$ | $\$ 315$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 9,274 | $\$ 8,100,000$ | $\$ 326$ |

## MISSOURI



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 12,640,456$ | 29,885 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,298,676$ | 2,240 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,150,394$ | 25,976 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 663,165$ | 652 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 642,070$ | 2,096 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 39,182 | $\$ 52,300,000$ | $\$ 361$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 33,327 | $\$ 41,500,000$ | $\$ 229$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 27,703 | $\$ 30,500,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 22,608 | $\$ 19,400,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## Montana



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,374,987$ | 3,424 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 243,920$ | 383 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 195,356$ | 2,299 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 88,491$ | 82 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 131,490$ | 421 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 6,712 | $\$ 9,600,000$ | $\$ 436$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 5,767 | $\$ 5,000,000$ | $\$ 297$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 4,707 | $\$ 3,900,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 4,605 | $\$ 2,800,000$ | $\$ 398$ |

## NEBRASKA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,720,261$ | 6,709 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 869,393$ | 987 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 367,599$ | 4,536 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 97,928$ | 90 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 125,102$ | 389 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 10,242 | $\$ 14,300,000$ | $\$ 450$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 9,072 | $\$ 13,300,000$ | $\$ 262$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 7,438 | $\$ 6,500,000$ | $\$ 358$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 6,123 | $\$ 4,900,000$ | $\$ 337$ |

## NEVADA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 3,342,319$ | 9,189 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,765,941$ | 3,112 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,289,302$ | 26,547 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 412,969$ | 467 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 981,141$ | 2,772 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 24,729 | $\$ 69,600,000$ | $\$ 616$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 21,873 | $\$ 38,100,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 19,023 | $\$ 27,400,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 14,330 | $\$ 11,900,000$ | $\$ 429$ |

## New Hampshire



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,406,005$ | 5,140 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 316,991$ | 519 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 442,128$ | 4,969 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 777,544$ | 586 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 77,933$ | 265 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 9,299 | $\$ 13,700,000$ | $\$ 450$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 7,521 | $\$ 6,700,000$ | $\$ 234$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 6,149 | $\$ 5,300,000$ | $\$ 263$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 4,883 | $\$ 3,900,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## NEW JERSEY



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 19,370,881$ | 37,186 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 6,652,164$ | 7,744 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 125,852$ | 1,495 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,693,149$ | 1,434 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 830,011$ | 2,501 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 54,494 | $\$ 122,200,000$ | $\$ 508$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 48,384 | $\$ 73,300,000$ | $\$ 315$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 38,024 | $\$ 51,000,000$ | $\$ 390$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 30,004 | $\$ 34,400,000$ | $\$ 356$ |

## New MEXICO



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 3,479,111$ | 8,103 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,991,621$ | 4,149 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,541,041$ | 18,292 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 299,266$ | 274 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 360,562$ | 1,017 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 13,773 | $\$ 23,200,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 12,165 | $\$ 25,100,000$ | $\$ 409$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 9,194 | $\$ 14,100,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 8,031 | $\$ 10,500,000$ | $\$ 400$ |

## NEW York



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 39,912,503$ | 76,212 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 15,078,040$ | 15,722 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 7,785,361$ | 92,006 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 3,764,084$ | 3,037 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,206,115$ | 3,784 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 123,684 | $\$ 280,900,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 109,037 | $\$ 174,200,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 88,565 | $\$ 123,200,000$ | $\$ 371$ |
| 2018 | 66,771 | $\$ 67,700,000$ | $\$ 321$ |

## North Carolina



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 26,487,286$ | 64,100 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 4,721,854$ | 6,340 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 7,250,504$ | 88,477 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,097,785$ | 1,872 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,560,845$ | 5,077 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 64,517 | $\$ 93,000,000$ | $\$ 446$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 56,765 | $\$ 74,200,000$ | $\$ 278$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 47,410 | $\$ 45,300,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 39,279 | $\$ 30,400,000$ | $\$ 312$ |

## NORTH DAKOTA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,097,267$ | 2,396 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 648,237$ | 988 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 175,774$ | 1,870 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 127,341$ | 93 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 52,754$ | 184 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 3,309 | $\$ 8,900,000$ | $\$ 440$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2,743 | $\$ 3,200,000$ | $\$ 359$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2,268 | $\$ 3,300,000$ | $\$ 411$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,860 | $\$ 2,400,000$ | $\$ 484$ |

## $\underline{\mathrm{OHIO}}$



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,303,878$ | 9,193 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,123,253$ | 3,798 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 7,032,168$ | 88,785 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 3,381,668$ | 2,823 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,586,186$ | 5,171 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 69,970 | $\$ 86,300,000$ | $\$ 375$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 60,802 | $\$ 59,400,000$ | $\$ 222$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 51,014 | $\$ 42,700,000$ | $\$ 299$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 40,712 | $\$ 27,700,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## OKLAHOMA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 4,707,498$ | 14,621 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 3,196,760$ | 5,166 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 2,116,703$ | 24,558 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 613,916$ | 510 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 442,266$ | 1,442 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 22,036 | $\$ 26,900,000$ | $\$ 410$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 16,925 | $\$ 19,700,000$ | $\$ 260$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 14,529 | $\$ 17,800,000$ | $\$ 362$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 11,812 | $\$ 11,000,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## OREGON



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 5,295,550$ | 12,571 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,142,709$ | 2,745 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,212,203$ | 14,827 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 405,277$ | 454 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 511,148$ | 1,623 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 30,950 | $\$ 65,400,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 26,808 | $\$ 36,100,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 23,539 | $\$ 23,300,000$ | $\$ 349$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 17,723 | $\$ 11,900,000$ | $\$ 346$ |

## PENNSYLVANIA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 28,901,271$ | 57,201 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,908,192$ | 4,488 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 5,999,606$ | 72,124 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 3,502,172$ | 2,733 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,536,125$ | 4,648 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 79,187 | $\$ 120,900,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 71,756 | $\$ 78,200,000$ | $\$ 256$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 56,949 | $\$ 55,700,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 43,906 | $\$ 33,700,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## RHODE ISLAND



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,376,533$ | 3,342 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,018,746$ | 1,459 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 693,505$ | 7,635 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 355,886$ | 264 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 79,222$ | 231 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 6,758 | $\$ 11,600,000$ | $\$ 447$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 5,408 | $\$ 4,200,000$ | $\$ 225$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 4,022 | $\$ 2,400,000$ | $\$ 269$ |
| 2018 | 3,320 | $\$ 3,300,000$ | $\$ 350$ |

## SOUTH CAROLINA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 8,141,989$ | 21,949 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,114,734$ | 1,635 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,795,280$ | 45,805 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 797,806$ | 774 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 901,136$ | 2,939 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 30,918 | $\$ 46,400,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 27,656 | $\$ 36,200,000$ | $\$ 285$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 21,931 | $\$ 27,100,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 18,264 | $\$ 14,000,000$ | $\$ 320$ |

## SOUTH DAKOTA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,077,387$ | 2,571 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 486,791$ | 779 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 163,170$ | 1,915 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 28,737$ | 26 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 66,542$ | 210 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 3,698 | $\$ 6,800,000$ | $\$ 489$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 3,073 | $\$ 4,600,000$ | $\$ 249$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2,489 | $\$ 2,300,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 2,226 | $\$ 2,900,000$ | $\$ 412$ |

## TEnNESSEE



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 11,699,122$ | 29,493 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,326,693$ | 1,936 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,771,427$ | 46,019 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,156,648$ | 1,818 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,129,817$ | 3,507 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 42,606 | $\$ 62,600,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 36,413 | $\$ 41,500,000$ | $\$ 270$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 29,941 | $\$ 28,000,000$ | $\$ 318$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 24,458 | $\$ 18,700,000$ | $\$ 324$ |

## TEXAS



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 37,187,516$ | 100,219 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 26,735,652$ | 35,602 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 31,977,820$ | 354,333 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 2,286,732$ | 2,150 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 4,618,821$ | 12,947 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 169,503 | $\$ 369,400,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 139,523 | $\$ 213,100,000$ | $\$ 340$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 111,167 | $\$ 154,500,000$ | $\$ 422$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 87,343 | $\$ 98,600,000$ | $\$ 390$ |

## $\underline{\text { UTAH }}$



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,621,333$ | 6,606 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 1,646,536$ | 2,298 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 948,961$ | 12,298 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 435,510$ | 377 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 602,615$ | 1,734 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 19,327 | $\$ 37,300,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 16,126 | $\$ 24,500,000$ | $\$ 322$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 12,016 | $\$ 14,700,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 9,892 | $\$ 14,300,000$ | $\$ 376$ |

## VERMONT



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 827,323$ | 1,829 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 227,550$ | 369 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 7,990$ | 103 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 316,288$ | 207 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 29,968$ | 97 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 4,132 | $\$ 10,000,000$ | $\$ 337$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 3,382 | $\$ 4,000,000$ | $\$ 280$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2,338 | $\$ 3,700,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,924 | $\$ 2,200,000$ | $\$ 340$ |

## VIRGINIA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,785,238$ | 7,223 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,995,929$ | 3,977 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,901,972$ | 47,247 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,324,906$ | 1,154 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 1,403,286$ | 4,331 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 59,345 | $\$ 112,900,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 14,430 | $\$ 20,700,000$ | $\$ 360$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 10,830 | $\$ 13,500,000$ | $\$ 458$ |
| 2018 | 8,210 | $\$ 7,200,000$ | $\$ 395$ |

## WASHINGTON



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 10,341,600$ | 23,719 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,864,241$ | 3,843 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 3,016,151$ | 35,302 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,057,047$ | 995 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 980,736$ | 2,958 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 57,453 | $\$ 135,700,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 48,448 | $\$ 70,500,000$ | $\$ 399$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 39,466 | $\$ 45,500,000$ | $\$ 395$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 28,326 | $\$ 28,300,000$ | $\$ 350$ |

## West Virginia



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 317,497$ | 778 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 110,901$ | 192 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 1,261,493$ | 14,696 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 1,268,677$ | 949 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 167,422$ | 587 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 10,219 | $\$ 10,200,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 8,695 | $\$ 9,500,000$ | $\$ 202$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 7,618 | $\$ 8,800,000$ | $\$ 348$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 7,574 | $\$ 4,400,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## WISCONSIN



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 7,110,894$ | 17,997 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 2,561,185$ | 4,303 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 47,181$ | 572 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 883,484$ | 772 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 565,038$ | 1,824 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 33,942 | $\$ 48,700,000$ | $\$ 390$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 29,542 | $\$ 35,300,000$ | $\$ 249$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 24,119 | $\$ 21,600,000$ | $\$ 300$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 19,180 | $\$ 13,400,000$ | $\$ 300$ |

## WYOMING



FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar <br> Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 1,122,738$ | 2,564 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 192,525$ | 280 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 26,414$ | 345 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 56,193$ | 48 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 86,928$ | 266 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 3,221 | $\$ 7,800,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 2,705 | $\$ 4,400,000$ | $\$ 308$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2,175 | $\$ 2,800,000$ | $\$ 400$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,988 | $\$ 1,900,000$ | $\$ 400$ |

## DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 2,589,437$ | 5,024 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 191,965$ | 194 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 372,901$ | 4,255 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 252,689$ | 237 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 81,803$ | 256 |

## Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 6,840 | $\$ 10,200,000$ | $\$ 500$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 5,831 | $\$ 10,100,000$ | $\$ 394$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 4,965 | $\$ 5,800,000$ | $\$ 371$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 3,924 | $\$ 3,900,000$ | $\$ 379$ |

## Puerto Rico



## FTC Cases Resulting in Significant Refunds

| Case Name | Case Type | Dollar Amount | Number of <br> Recipients |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| AMG Services | Payday Lending Scheme | $\$ 561,035$ | 1,167 |
| Herbalife | Multi-Level Marketing Scheme | $\$ 3,872,134$ | 4,940 |
| Progressive Leasing | Rent to Own | $\$ 8,758$ | 111 |
| Reckitt Benckiser | Anticompetitive Practices | $\$ 15,633$ | 24 |
| University of Phoenix | Education/Job Opportunities | $\$ 77,762$ | 305 |

Scope and Scale of Consumer Fraud

| Year | Total number of reports | Total \$ reported lost | Median reported loss |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ | 3,428 | $\$ 6,700,00$ | $\$ 508$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | 3,851 | $\$ 8,100,000$ | $\$ 369$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | 2,489 | $\$ 5,100,000$ | $\$ 350$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | 1,807 | $\$ 1,800,000$ | $\$ 358$ |

## VI. Small business Victims

Using Section 13(b), the FTC has returned millions of dollars to small businesses and budding entrepreneurs that bad actors specifically targeted with unscrupulous practices. For example, the Commission launched a 2018 initiative to stop small business scams in "Operation Main Street," in collaboration with eight state attorneys general, the New York Division of the U.S. Postal Inspection Service, two U.S. Attorneys' Offices and the Better Business Bureau (BBB).

Former Republican FTC Chairman Simons highly praised this initiative and stressed the FTC's commitment to protecting small businesses: ${ }^{49}$

Millions of U.S. consumers either own or work at small businesses nationwide, and the FTC is happy to join with our law enforcement partners and the BBB to help stop scams and spread the word about how they can identify and avoid scams targeting their livelihood.... A top FTC priority is to stem the tide of fraud against small businesses.

[^1]Select Cases in Which the FTC Obtained Refunds for Small Businesses Using Section 13(b)

| Case name | Case Description | Number of Recipients | Total Refunds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Premium <br> Business <br> Pages ${ }^{50}$ | Payment Scam—Defendants made unsolicited calls to small businesses to induce them to pay for services they never ordered or received. | 17 | \$55,336.88 |
| Corporate Compliance Services ${ }^{51}$ | Government Imposter Scam-Defendants tricked business owners into paying for labor law posters by sending fake mailers that looked like government invoices and threatening large fines for failure to pay. | 26,817 | \$1,094,133.60 |
| Commerce Planet ${ }^{52}$ | Unauthorized Billing Scheme-Defendants deceptively enrolled entrepreneurs in a monthly subscription plan by offering a "free" kit with information on how to start a business selling products on online auctions. | 24,335 | \$722,330.52 |
| Digital Altitude ${ }^{53}$ | Business Coaching Scheme-Defendants tricked consumers with a marketing program disguised as a purported business coaching program that would enable consumers to earn substantial income with an online business. | 10,154 | \$4,634,489.29 |
| World Patent Marketing ${ }^{54}$ | Invention Promotion Scam-Defendants charged inventors thousands of dollars to patent and market inventions based on bogus "success stories" and failed to deliver what they promised. | 5,479 | \$1,001,240.47 |
| *Fleetcor Technologies (pending in litigation) ${ }^{55}$ | Hidden Fee Scheme-Defendants falsely promised to help many companies and small businesses save on fuel costs and charged numerous hidden fees. | Tens of thousands of customers | Hundreds of millions of dollars at risk |

[^2]| Case name | Case Description | Number of Recipients | Total Refunds |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | *Because refunds are no longer available under Section 13(b), the FTC moved to dismiss its federal court lawsuit and filed an administrative complaint under Section 19, which means it will be many years before victims can potentially obtain refunds. ${ }^{56}$ |  |  |
| Pointbreak Media ${ }^{57}$ | Deceptive Robocalls-Defendants called small business owners, claimed to be acting on Google's behalf, and offered to verify their Google listing and give them unique "keywords" so their business would appear prominently on the search engine, for a onetime fee ranging from $\$ 300$ to $\$ 700$. | 4,467 | \$707,000 |
| Yellowstone <br> Capital <br> LLC ${ }^{58}$ | Cash Advance Scheme-A provider of cash advances took money from businesses' bank accounts without permission and deceived them about the amount of financing business owners would receive and other features of its financing products. | TBD | More than $\$ 9.8$ million |

[^3]
## VII. CONGRESSIONAL SOLUTION

In AMG Capital, the Supreme Court ruled that the FTC should ask Congress to fully restore its Section 13(b) authority. In 2020, prior to the Supreme Court's decision, all five sitting FTC Commissioners wrote to Congress on a bipartisan basis requesting immediate clarification of the FTC's authority: ${ }^{59}$
[W]e urge Congress to take quick action to amend Section 13(b) to make clear that the Commission can bring actions in federal court under Section 13(b) even if conduct is no longer ongoing or impending when the suit is filed and can obtain monetary relief, including restitution and disgorgement, if successful.

Some have contended that the Court's ruling in AMG Capital is a statement of its policy views on FTC enforcement authority. On the contrary, this passage acknowledges that it is Congressnot the Court-that sets policy. Justice Breyer put the situation simply in his AMG Capital Management, LLC v. FTC opinion: ${ }^{60}$

If the Commission believes that [ $\$ 5$ and §19] authority too cumbersome or otherwise inadequate, it is, of course, free to ask Congress to grant it further remedial authority. Indeed, the Commission has recently asked Congress for that very authority, see Hearing before the Senate Committee on Commerce, Science, and Transportation on Oversight of the Federal Trade Commission, Prepared Statement of the FTC, 116th Cong., 2d Sess., 3-5 (2020), and Congress has considered at least one bill that would do so, see S. 4626, 116th Cong., 2d Sess., §403 (2020) (revising §13 to expressly authorize restitution and disgorgement).

[^4]Bipartisan consumer protection and competition experts have lauded the importance of a complete restoration of Section 13(b). Former FTC Chair Leibowitz, a Democrat, stated in 2020 testimony addressed to then-U.S. Senate Commerce Committee Chairman Roger Wicker: ${ }^{61}$

Congress should step in and make the FTC's authority to seek such redress [under §13(b)] unambiguous in legislation. Mr. Chairman, I am glad to see that language confirming the FTC's authority to obtain equitable relief in federal court has been included in your recently introduced bill, and I hope everyone on the Committee can support that.

Former FTC Chair William Kovacic, a Republican, emphasized the same in 2021 testimony: ${ }^{62}$

If the Court rules against the Commission, Congress should amend the FTC Act to make clear its intent to give the FTC power to obtain the full range of equitable remedies, including monetary recoveries as remedies for consumer protection violations. The ability to deprive wrongdoers of the financial gains from misconduct provides compensation for victims and increases deterrence by diminishing the returns to fraud and other forms of oppressive behavior.

[^5]
## VIII. CONCLUSION

Congress must act immediately to restore this critical authority. On July 20, 2021, the U.S. House of Representatives passed a bill ${ }^{63}$ to restore 13(b) and the Senate must do the same.

- The FTC has no effective means to return money to consumers without an amendment to Section 13(b).
- The FTC returned over $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 . 2}$ billion in refunds to U.S. consumers in recent years under previous judicial interpretation of Section 13(b).
- Absent an amendment to Section 13(b), bad actors that violate the law will be able to keep their ill-gotten gains.
- FTC enforcement actions provide meager protection for the marketplace if the agency's only remedy is an injunction to stop the conduct.
- The FTC's ability to obtain an injunction to guard against future misconduct is endangered where the bad actor stops the conduct before litigation.
- Other remedy provisions of the FTC Act, and proposals modeled after those provisions, provide far less protections and recourse for consumers.
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