DEPAR



This document is scheduled to be published in the Federal Register on 11/10/2022 and available online at **federalregister.gov/d/2022-24520**, and on **govinfo.gov**

Agricultural Marketing Service

[Doc. No. AMS-FGIS-20-0061]

Mycotoxin Test Kit Design Specifications and Performance Criteria

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: The Agricultural Marketing Service (AMS) is announcing its decisions regarding proposed changes to its mycotoxin test kit performance criteria after evaluating public comments received during prior publications in the **Federal Register** (85 FR 82427 and 86 FR 10531).

DATES: Applicable: [INSERT DATE OF PUBLICATION IN THE FEDERAL REGISTER].

ADDRESSES: For access to the AMS mycotoxin test kit criteria, go to https://www.ams.usda.gov/services/fgis/standardization/tke.

FOR MORE INFORMATION CONTACT: Thomas A. Weber, Analytical Chemistry Branch Chief, Technology and Science Division, Federal Grain Inspection Service, AMS, USDA, 816-702-3811; Telephone: (816) 702-3811, or Email: Thomas.A.Weber@usda.gov. SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: Mycotoxins are toxic chemicals produced by certain fungal species under favorable environmental conditions. Many countries¹ have set

maximum allowable concentration levels for specific mycotoxins in food and feed to ensure the safety of consumers. Mycotoxin levels are a critical factor in the trade of grain and quantitative mycotoxin testing is an integral part of buyer-seller contract specifications. Under the authority of the United States Grains Standards Act (7 U.S.C. 71–87k), as

amended, and the Agricultural Marketing Act of 1946 (7 U.S.C. 1621-1627), as amended,

¹ Worldwide regulations for mycotoxins in food and feed in 2003 [Online]. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Rome, Italy, 2004. https://www.fao.org/3/y5499e/y5499e00.htm (accessed 5/24/2022).

AMS provides official mycotoxin testing services throughout the United States for domestic and export grains, oilseeds, and processed-grain commodities. Official testing services are provided for aflatoxins, deoxynivalenol, fumonisins, ochratoxin A, and zearalenone. Testing at field locations requires rapid, inexpensive, and accurate methods to effectively assess US grain quality. An essential part of ensuring the quality of official mycotoxin testing is the AMS test kit evaluation program, through which test kits are evaluated and certified for conformance to specific criteria. Only test kits having AMS certification are approved for official mycotoxin testing. AMS establishes the test kit performance criteria and periodically updates them to improve testing accuracy and to meet the official mycotoxin testing program's operational needs.

AMS requested comments from test kit manufacturers and other stakeholders on proposed changes to AMS mycotoxin test kit criteria through a notice that was published in the Federal Register at 85 FR 82427 on December 18, 2020. The original 30-day comment period provided in the notice closed on January 19, 2021. Comments were received from ten stakeholders requesting an extension of the comment period. AMS reopened the comment period in the Federal Register at 86 FR 10531 on February 22, 2021, for 30 days to allow interested persons additional time to review and comment on the notice. The second comment period closed on March 24, 2021. A summary of the public comments, decisions, and future considerations follows.

Minimum Ranges of Conformance

For each mycotoxin, AMS has established a minimum range of concentrations that must be covered in the test kit's scope and conform to AMS accuracy requirements. This range is referred to as the minimum range of conformance. AMS proposed to expand the minimum ranges for fumonisins, ochratoxin A, and zearalenone test kits to meet the current and anticipated future testing needs of the grain industry. AMS proposed expanding the current minimum range for fumonisins from 0.50–30 parts per million (ppm) to 0.50–100 ppm to provide testing up to the U.S. Food and Drug Administration's highest industry guidance level in corn and corn products. Comments were received from eleven stakeholders. Four stakeholders endorsed this proposal, while two of the four supported the change for corn only. Three stakeholders were against the change, because the grain has no market value at such high levels or because of the difficulty in sourcing large quantities of contaminated grains with 100 ppm fumonisins. Six stakeholders were against this change due to the risk for increased variation in the test results and suggested instead that narrowing the ranges would reduce variability. Five of these stakeholders recommended that the evaluation of test kits at the 100-ppm level should be optional. AMS believes that there may be limitations in test kit calibration linearity and additional sample preparation steps that could lead to increased variation. As a result, AMS will not implement proposed change at this time. AMS will follow up with test kit manufacturers to further assess the risk of increased variation and its impact.

To allow for expected testing variation below the maximum concentration levels of 5 parts per billion (ppb), required by a standard set by the Codex Alimentarius Commission, AMS proposed to expand the minimum range of concentrations for ochratoxin A from 5.0–100 ppb to 1.0–100 ppb. AMS was interested in comments on whether the maximum limit should also be lowered from 100 ppb to 20 ppb, resulting in a narrower minimum range (i.e., 1.0–20 ppb). Comments were received from ten stakeholders. None of the stakeholders supported the AMS proposal to expand the minimum range. Five stakeholders asserted that the change would require test kits to be as accurate as the reference method, which they thought was an unreasonable expectation. However, no technical data was provided by the manufacturers to support that claim. Additionally, three stakeholders expressed concern that producing a 1.0 ppb ochratoxin A reference material may be difficult. However, five stakeholders supported an alternative lower concentration limit of 2–3 ppb and an upper limit

of 20 ppb. AMS needs to gather and evaluate additional information from test kit manufacturers on their claim regarding the limitations of technology to quantify ochratoxin A at lower levels prior to setting a new limit. Therefore, AMS will not implement its proposed change at this time.

International regulators, including the European Union, have established maximum concentration levels for zearalenone at 100 ppb in cereals and other grains. To allow for expected testing variation below this regulatory limit, AMS proposed to expand the minimum range of concentrations from 100–1000 ppb to 20–1000 ppb. Comments were received from ten stakeholders. None of the stakeholders supported the AMS proposal. Five stakeholders supported changing the lower limit to 50 ppb, while two of the five also wanted an upper limit of 500 ppb. Four stakeholders requested that the required range of concentrations in test kit calibration linearity and additional sample preparation steps that could lead to increased variation. As a result, AMS will not implement proposed change at this time. AMS will follow up with test kit manufacturers to further assess the risk of increased variation and its impact.

Evaluation of Mycotoxin Test Kit Accuracy

AMS proposed to change the way the acceptable ranges for test results are calculated to align with the Horwitz-Thompson equation, which is an internationally accepted benchmark for evaluating analytical method performance. Comments were received from fourteen stakeholders. None of the stakeholders supported the AMS proposal. Four stakeholders were against the proposal because of perceived limitations of applying the Horwitz-Thompson equation to immunochemistry-based methods. Four stakeholders stated that the proposed acceptable ranges would result in more complex and longer test procedures, leading to higher variation. Six stakeholders stated that increasing the precision in test kit results would be most effective by minimizing the variation introduced by sample preparation, which includes grinding to a smaller particle size, adjusting the sample size, and using uniform sample extraction procedures. Seven stakeholders stated that narrowing the required concentration ranges would result in more accurate test results. AMS believes that the Horwitz-Thompson model should be the benchmark for the evaluation of mycotoxin test kits, since countries importing U.S. grain worldwide utilize standardized mycotoxin testing methods with performance characteristics that conform to this model. Providing mycotoxin test kits that meet this benchmark would increase the confidence of importing countries in AMS testing services, thereby facilitating exports of U.S. grain. However, AMS recognizes the concerns expressed through the comments received and will not implement the proposed changes at this time in order to further investigate how to incorporate the Horwitz-Thompson model into the mycotoxin test kit criteria.

Number of Readers

Mycotoxin concentrations are determined by an electronic instrument, often referred to as a "reader" by test kit manufacturers and users. AMS has observed variation in test results during side-by-side comparisons of identical reader models. In effort to increase the robustness of the evaluation, AMS proposed to use three separate readers during the evaluation process. Three readers were chosen as a practical number, because three analysts are participating in the evaluation and each could use a separate reader for expediency. Comments were received from five stakeholders, and all supported the AMS proposal to use three separate readers during the evaluation process. AMS will implement this requirement in the mycotoxin test kit program to account for variability in readers.

Test Kit Manufacturer Analysts

AMS proposed to update the method performance criteria to allow up to two analysts from the test kit manufacturer to participate in the verification study conducted at the AMS laboratory. Comments were received from five stakeholders, all of whom supported this AMS proposal. AMS will implement this option in the mycotoxin test kit program to promote transparency in the verification process.

Extraction Method

AMS proposed that the extraction method used for the primary grain(s) should be the same as that used for additional commodities. This change would provide evidence that the method can generate accurate results for both naturally contaminated and artificially fortified grains and commodities. Comments were received from five stakeholders. None of the stakeholders supported the AMS proposal. Two stakeholders recommended that naturally contaminated samples be required in the evaluation of additional commodities. AMS recognizes that artificially fortified samples do not truly represent naturally contaminated samples, and therefore, not the most robust method for evaluating test kit performance. AMS has allowed for artificially fortified samples due to the lack of the natural occurrence of specific mycotoxins in particular commodities. Requiring the use of naturally contaminated samples is anticipated to reduce the number of additional grains and commodities for which AMS can provide testing services. AMS will not implement the proposed change at this time in order to further engage industry stakeholders on the impacts associated with continuing to allow the use of artificially fortified samples versus requiring naturally contaminated samples in evaluating test kit performance.

Other Comments

Seven stakeholders made comments, which were beyond the scope of the changes proposed by AMS. These comments included recommendations to modify the minimum ranges of concentrations for aflatoxins and deoxynivalenol, to change the test kit certificate expiration period from three to five years, to revise the study design for the performance verification, to require all participants to use reference materials from one provider, and for AMS to provide guidance or recommendations on extraction procedures. AMS may engage stakeholders for further information about these recommendations and consider them in future program improvements.

Melissa Bailey,

Associate Administrator, Agricultural Marketing Service. [FR Doc. 2022-24520 Filed: 11/9/2022 8:45 am; Publication Date: 11/10/2022]